## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

.

Plaintiff,

v. : Civil Action No. 17-26-RGA

KEITH L. CAMPBELL,

.

Defendant.

## ORDER DENYING SUPPRESSION

At the conclusion of the recent suppression hearing, I gave Defendant an opportunity to brief the issue whether the FBI agent's request for a good will gesture made the resulting statement involuntary. (D.I. 73 at 86-88). The FBI agent did not coerce the statement. The interview was short; it was occurring at Defendant's request; Defendant was an adult with experience with the criminal justice system; the FBI agent did not make any express statement that what Defendant said would be off-the-record; the FBI agent did not use any relationship with Defendant to obtain the statement; indeed, the FBI agent did not make any misleading statement to Defendant. The FBI agent did not make any statement to Defendant that sounded like a promise of immunity, use immunity, or anything similar. Nor did the FBI agent imply that the statement was immunized or otherwise off-the-record. The FBI agent did not promise or imply any leniency.

Thus, under the totality of the circumstances, I do not think there was any coercion. *See United States v. Walton*, 10 F.3d 1024, 1032 (3d Cir. 1993).

I acknowledge that the FBI agent certainly believed that the statement would not be used against Defendant. I do not think the agent's subjective belief by itself makes any difference.

Thus, the statement at issue is admissible at the trial on Monday.

IT IS SO ORDERED this  $\iint$  day of August 2018.

Jnited States District Judge